

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/489,640	01/24/2000	James W Klett	6321-157	6076	
7:	590 05/15/2002				
Gregory A. Nelson			EXAMINER		
222 Lakeview	erfitt & Eidson, P.A. Avenue Suite 400		HENDRICKSO	N, STUART L	
P.O. Box 3188 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1754	14	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·				A8-1
	Application No.	o. Applican	it(s)	
Office Action Summan	48964		MOT!	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	\ a · i	Group Art Unit	
		Billion From	NSA	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover s	heet beneath the	e correspondence a	address –
Period for Repty		1 (000)		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	ro expire <u>≠</u>	MONT	TH(S) FROM THE M	AILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard period for reply will be set or extended period for reply wi</li></ul>	reply within the statu ult, expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the app	utory minimum of thi NTHS from the maili dication to become A	irty (30) days will be consing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	sidered timely. ication. § 133).
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193</li> </ul>			as to the merits is	<b>closed</b> in
Disposition of Claims				
(State above claims)			_ is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Clạim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/a	_ is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)			is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s) 56-13)			is/are objected to.	
<b>域</b> Claim(s) 96~131		subject to restriction juirement	or election	
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		•		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	• •	rovea.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	cted to by the Lx	armier .		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 H S C &	\$ 110 (a)_(d)		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	under 55 0.5.0. §	3 119 (a)-(u).		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been		cation No		
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	•		•	
in this national stage application from the International				
*Certified copies not received:				·
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)	☐ Int rview Summary, PTO-413		
□ N tice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat int Drawing Revi w, PTO-94	18	□ Other.		
	<del></del>			

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 56-84, 128-131, drawn to carbon foam, classified in class 423, subclass 445R.
- II. Claims 85-88, 104-107, 111-115, 120-124, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 165, subclass 47+.
- III. Claims 89, 98-103, 108-110, 116-119, 125-127, drawn to an apparatus with a different carbon material, classified in class 252, subclass 70+.
- IV. Claims 90-97, drawn to another apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 244+.
- Inventions I and each of the other respective groups in permutation, if related, are related as product (carbon) and process of use (in an apparatus). The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the material can be used as a filler or sorbent.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different subject matter and classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: If group I is elected, the species are those listed in claim 59.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits (if Group I is elected) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the claims are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/489640

Art Unit: 1754

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754

And Wil-